

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/927,422	08/10/2001	Gary Van Nest	377882001420	6952
25226	7590 03/07/2006	EXAMINER		INER
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 755 PAGE MILL RD			MINNIFIELD, NITA M	
	CA 94304-1018		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1645	

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/927,422	NEST ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		N. M. Minnifield	1645
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Do nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from c, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 A.</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1.4-23.48 and 51-84 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1.4-23.48 and 51-84 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/outsion Papers	wn from consideration.	
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachmen	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔀 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infon	the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	

Application/Control Number: 09/927,422 Page 2

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Final Rejection mailed November 2, 2005 has been vacated.

Response to Amendment

- 2. Applicants' amendment final filed November 2, 2004 is acknowledged and has been entered. Claims 2, 3, 49 and 50 have been canceled. Claims 24-47 have been withdrawn. Claims 1, 4-23, 48 and 51-84 are now pending in the present application. All rejections have been withdrawn in view of Applicants' amendment to the claims and/or comments with the exception of those discussed below.
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. This application contains claims 24-47 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the reply filed on September 22, 2003. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.
- 5. Claims 1, 4-23, 48 and 51-84 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-15, 18-22, 27-29 and 51-62 of copending Application No. 10/214799. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct

Application/Control Number: 09/927,422

Art Unit: 1645

from each other because both applications claimed a complex comprising an IMP/MC, immunomodulatory polynucleotide (or oligonucleotide) and a microcarrier, covalently or non-covalently linked, as well as claims to a kit comprising said complex. The complex can also comprise an antigen. The microcarrier can be a liquid phase or solid phase microcarrier. The IMP can vary in length and can comprise a phosphate backbone modification.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

This rejection is maintained for the reasons of record. Applicants' amendment filed November 2, 2004 asserted that "[S]ince this is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection and there are no issued claims, there is nothing to disclaim at this time." This provisional rejection is maintained for the reasons of record.

This rejection is maintained for the reasons of record. Applicants' amendment filed August 17, 2005 has asserted that "[T]his is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection and there are no issued claims. Applicants will address this provisional rejection when there is otherwise allowable subject matter." (see p.11 of Remarks) This provisional rejection is maintained for the reasons of record.

6. Claims 1, 4-23, 48 and 51-84 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schwartz et al (WO 98/55495).

The claims are directed to an IMP/MC complex that comprises a polynucleotide (sequence 5'-C, G-3', greater than 6 nucleotides) linked (non-covalently) to the surface of a microcarrier. The MC is a liquid phase or solid phase or cationic and is less than 10 microns in size. The

Application/Control Number: 09/927,422

Art Unit: 1645

polynucleotide may be SEQ ID NO: 1 or have a phosphate backbone modification (phosphorothioate).

Schwartz et al, for example, discloses a complex that comprises an oligonucleotide in conjunction with an immunostimulatory peptide or antigen (abstract; p. 4). The prior art discloses that the complex can also comprise an encapsulating agent that can maintain the ISS and antigen (pp. 7-8; p. 13). Schwartz et al discloses that the oligonucleotides (i.e. ISS or IMP) comprise phosphorothioate backbones, which are phosphate backbone modifications (p. 11; p. 29). Schwartz et al discloses that the oligonucleotide can be combined with immunomodulatory facilitators such as adjuvants, such adjuvants include emulsions and polylactide/polyglycolide microparticles (i.e. MC) (p. 12, 14; Schwartz et al discloses that the ISS can be covalently or non-covalently linked to the immunomodulatory facilitator (i.e. MC) (p. 14). The prior art discloses the nucleotide sequence as set forth in Applicants' SEQ ID NO: 1 (see SEQ ID NO: 15, this sequence contains 22 nucleotides). It is noted that claims 48-84 are directed to a kit. The components of the kit are the same as the components of claims 1-23 and it would appear that Schwartz et al would disclose the claimed kit. Determining the size of the microparticle would have been within the knowledge of a skilled since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Since the Patent Office does not have the facilities for examining and comparing applicants' complex and kit with the complex and kit of the prior art reference, the burden is upon applicants to show a distinction between the material structural and functional characteristics of the claimed complex and kit and the

Application/Control Number: 09/927,422

Art Unit: 1645

complex and kit of the prior art. See <u>In re Best</u>, 562 F.2d 1252, 195 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1977) and <u>In re Fitzgerald et al.</u>, 205 USPQ 594.

The rejection of claims 1, 4-23, 48 and 51-84 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by Schwartz et al (WO 98/55495) is maintained. This rejection is maintained for the same reasons as the rejection of claims 1-23 and 48-84 under this statutory provision, as set forth in the last Office action. Applicant's arguments filed November 2, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants have asserted that Schwartz et al describes compositions variously comprising ISS-containing polynucleotides, antigens, and adjuvants, however Applicants respectfully submit that this reference does not anticipate the claimed invention of a complex (IMP/MC) comprising a 5'-CG-3'-containing polynucleotide (IMP) covalently linked to the surface of a biodegradable microcarrier (MC), the polynucleotide is greater than 6 nucleotides in length and the MC is less than 10 μm. However, all of these limitations are found in the prior art of Schwartz et al. Schwartz et al discloses a complex (IMP/MC) comprising a 5'-CG-3'-containing polynucleotide (IMP) (see p. 4, p. 10) covalently linked to the surface of a biodegradable microcarrier (MC) (see p. 12, l. 10-17; p. 12, l. 36-38; p. 14, l. 15-30), the polynucleotide is greater than 6 nucleotides in length (p. 4; p. 10) and the MC is less than 10 μm (see pp.15-16). Schwartz et al also discloses that the complex can comprise an antigen (see claims for example).

Applicants have asserted that although Schwartz et al describes conjugates of immunostimulatory polynucleotides, antigens and/or adjuvants, Schwartz et al does not explicitly describe a complex in which an IMP is covalently linked to the surface of a microcarrier less than 10 μ m in size as claimed. However, Schwartz et al at pages 15-16 discloses the size of the microcarrier or microparticle, see p. 16, l. 1-3 specifically. The size range is from 0.04 μ m to 100 μ m and preferably 0.15 μ m to 10 μ m. Schwartz et al discloses the term immunomodulatory facilitator, which set forth examples such as adjuvants which include alum, lipid emulsions and polylactide/polyglycolide microparticles (p. 14). These are the same polymers/components used in Applicants' microcarrier. Applicants use oil-in-water emulsions, polylactic acid beads, or poly(lactic acid, glycolic acid) copolymers (see specification pp. 11-13). Since the prior art uses the same microcarrier (microparticle) as Applicants it would appear that the microparticle is biodegradable. The prior art discloses the claimed invention.

The rejection of claims 1, 4-23, 48 and 51-84 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by Schwartz et al (WO 98/55495) is maintained. This rejection is maintained for the same reasons as set forth in the last Office action. Applicant's arguments filed August 17, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is noted that Applicants' arguments have been addressed previously. Applicants have invited the Examiner to consider page 15, lines 36-38 of Schwartz et al, "which state that the invention provides compositions and

Application/Control Number: 09/927,422 Page 6

Art Unit: 1645

methods that comprise an encapsulating agent. Continuing on page 16, lines 1-3 state that the microparticles and/or liposomes encapsulating an ISS-IMM are in the form of particles with the recited sizes. The presently claimed invention recites, in part, that the polynucleotide is covalently linked to the surface of a biodegradable MC that is less than 10 µm in size." (p. 12 of Remarks). Schwartz et al discloses that the ISS (i.e. polynucleotides) can be administered in conjunction with immunomodulatory molecules (i.e. antigens) *and/or* immunomodulatory facilitators, which include adjuvants (i.e. microparticles) (p. 12). Schwartz et al discloses that the ISS and the immunomodulatory facilitator (i.e. microparticles) can be administered together in the form of a conjugate (p. 12). The prior art also discloses that immunomodulatory facilitator (i.e. microparticles) can be administered as an ISS-facilitator conjugate, via covalent or non-covalent interactions (p. 14). The prior art anticipates the claimed invention as set forth in claims 1, 4-19, 21, 22, 48, 51-65, 68-76 and 79-82.

- 7. No claims are allowed.
- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the

advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to N. M. Minnifield whose telephone number is 571-272-0860. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30) Second Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette R.F. Smith can be reached on 571-272-0864. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

N.M.M.M. Minterfeld
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1

Art Unit 1645

NMM March 1, 2006